Strong science instruction requires that students:

- Apply content knowledge to explain real world phenomena and to design solutions,
- Investigate, evaluate, and reason scientifically, and
- Connect ideas across disciplines.

Title: **[Title]** Grade/Course: **[Grade/Course]**

Publisher: **[Publisher]** Copyright: **[Copyright]**

Overall Rating: **[Choose one: Tier I, Exemplifies quality; Tier II, Approaching quality; Tier III, Not representing quality]**

Tier I, Tier II, Tier III Elements of this review:

STRONG	WEAK
1. Three-dimensional Learning (Non-negotiable)	
2. Phenomenon-Based Instruction (Non-negotiable)	
3. Alignment & Accuracy (Non-negotiable)	
4. Disciplinary Literacy (Non-negotiable)	
5. Learning Progressions	
6. Scaffolding and Support	
7. Usability	
8. Assessment	

To evaluate instructional materials for alignment with the standards and determine tiered rating, begin with **Section I: Non-negotiable Criteria**.

- Review the **required**[1] Indicators of Superior Quality for each **Non-negotiable** criterion.
- If there is a "Yes" for all **required** Indicators of Superior Quality, materials receive a "Yes" for that **Non-negotiable** criterion.
- If there is a "No" for any of the **required** Indicators of Superior Quality, materials receive a "No" for that **Non-negotiable** criterion.
- Materials must meet **Non-negotiable** Criteria 1 and 2 for the review to continue to **Non-negotiable** Criteria 3 and 4. Materials must meet all of the **Non-negotiable** Criteria 1-4 in order for the review to continue to Section II.
- If materials receive a "No" for any **Non-negotiable** criterion, a rating of Tier 3 is assigned, and the review does not continue.

If all Non-negotiable Criteria are met, then continue to **Section II: Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.**

- Review the **required** Indicators of Superior Quality for each criterion.
- If there is a "Yes" for all **required** Indicators of Superior Quality, then the materials receive a "Yes" for the additional criteria.
- If there is a "No" for any **required** Indicator of Superior Quality, then the materials receive a "No" for the additional criteria.

Tier 1 ratings receive a "Yes" for all Non-negotiable Criteria and a "Yes" for each of the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.
Tier 2 ratings receive a "Yes" for all Non-negotiable Criteria, but at least one "No" for the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.
Tier 3 ratings receive a "No" for at least one of the Non-negotiable Criteria.

[1] **Required Indicators of Superior Quality** are labeled "**Required**" and shaded yellow. Remaining indicators that are shaded white are included to provide additional information to aid in material selection and do not affect tiered rating.

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
SECTION I: NON-NEGOTIABLE CRITERIA OF SUPERIOR QUALITY **Materials must meet Non-negotiable Criteria 1 and 2 for the review to continue to Non-negotiable Criteria 3 and 4. Materials must meet all of the Non-negotiable Criteria 1-4 in order for the review to continue to Section II.**			
Non-negotiable **1. THREE-DIMENSIONAL LEARNING:** Students have multiple opportunities throughout each unit to develop an understanding and demonstrate application of the three dimensions. ☐ Yes ☐ No	**Required** **1a)** Materials are designed so that students develop scientific content knowledge and scientific skills through **interacting with the three dimensions** of the science standards. The majority of the materials teach the science and engineering practices (SEP), crosscutting concepts (CCC), and disciplinary core ideas (DCI) in an integrated manner to support deeper learning.		
Non-negotiable **2. PHENOMENON-BASED INSTRUCTION:** Explaining phenomenon and designing solutions drive student learning. ☐ Yes ☐ No	**Required** **2a) Observing and explaining phenomena** and designing solutions provide the purpose and opportunity for students to engage in a coherent sequence of learning a majority of the time. Phenomena provide students with authentic opportunities to ask questions and define problems, as well as purpose to incrementally build understanding through the lessons that follow.		
Non-negotiable (only reviewed if Criteria 1 and 2 are met) **3. ALIGNMENT & ACCURACY:** Materials adequately address the Louisiana Student Standards for Science.	**Required** **3a)** The majority of the Louisiana Student Standards for Science are incorporated, to the full **depth of the standards**.		
	Required **3b)** Science content is **accurate**, reflecting the most current and widely accepted explanations.		

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
☐ Yes ☐ No	**3c)** In any one grade or course, instructional materials spend **minimal time on content outside** of the course, grade, or grade-band.		
Non-negotiable (only reviewed if Criteria 1 and 2 are met) **4. DISCIPLINARY LITERACY:** Materials have students engage with authentic sources and incorporate speaking, reading, and writing to develop scientific literacy. ☐ Yes ☐ No	**Required *Indicator for grades 4-12 only** **4a)** Students regularly engage with **authentic sources** that represent the language and style that is used and produced by scientists; e.g., journal excerpts, authentic data, photographs, sections of lab reports, and media releases of current science research. Frequency of engagement with authentic sources should increase in higher grade levels and courses.		
	Required **4b)** Students regularly engage in **speaking and writing** about scientific phenomena and engineering solutions using authentic science sources; e.g., authentic data, models, lab investigations, or journal excerpts. Materials address the necessity of using **scientific evidence** to support scientific ideas.		
	Required **4c)** There is **variability** in the tasks that students are required to execute. For example, students are asked to produce solutions to problems, models of phenomena, explanations of theory development, and conclusions from investigations.		
	4d) Materials provide a coherent sequence of authentic science sources that build scientific **vocabulary** and knowledge over the course of study. Vocabulary is addressed as needed in the materials, but not taught in isolation of deeper scientific learning.		

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
Section II: Additional Criteria of Superior Quality			
5. LEARNING PROGRESSIONS: The materials adequately address Appendix A: Learning Progressions. They are coherent and provide natural connections to other performance expectations including science and engineering practices, crosscutting concepts, and disciplinary core ideas; the content complements the the Louisiana Student Standards for Math. ☐ Yes ☐ No	**Required** **5a)** The overall organization of the materials and the development of disciplinary core ideas, science and engineering practices, and crosscutting concepts are coherent within and across units. The **progression of learning** is coordinated over time, clear, and organized to prevent student misunderstanding and supports student mastery of the performance expectations.		
	5b) Students apply mathematical thinking when applicable. They are not introduced to math skills that are beyond the applicable grade's expectations in the Louisiana Student Standards for Mathematics. Preferably, **math connections** are made explicit through clear references to the math standards, specifically in teacher materials.		
6. SCAFFOLDING AND SUPPORT: Materials provide teachers with guidance to build their own knowledge and to give all students extensive opportunities and support to explore key concepts using multiple, varied experiences to build scientific thinking. ☐ Yes ☐ No	**Required** **6a)** There are separate **teacher support** materials including: scientific background knowledge, support in three-dimensional learning, learning progressions, common student misconceptions and suggestions to address them, guidance targeting speaking and writing in the science classroom (e.g. conversation guides, sample scripts, rubrics, exemplar student responses).		
	6b) Appropriate suggestions and materials are provided for **differentiated instruction** supporting varying student needs at the unit and lesson level (e.g., alternative teaching approaches, pacing, instructional delivery options, suggestions for addressing common student difficulties to meet standards, etc.).		

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
7. USABILITY: Materials are easily accessible, promote safety in the science classroom, and are viable for implementation given the length of a school year. ☐ Yes ☐ No	**Required** **7a)** Text sets (when applicable), laboratory, and other scientific materials are **readily accessible** through vendor packaging.		
	Required **7b)** Materials help students build an understanding of standard operating procedures in a science laboratory and include **safety** guidelines, procedures, and equipment. Science classroom and laboratory safety guidelines are embedded in the curriculum.		
	7c) The total amount of content is **viable** for a school year.		
8. ASSESSMENT: Materials offer assessment opportunities that genuinely measure progress and elicit direct, observable evidence of the degree to which students can independently demonstrate the assessed standards. ☐ Yes ☐ No	**Required** **8a) Multiple types** of formative and summative assessments (performance-based tasks, questions, research, investigations, and projects) are embedded into content materials and assess the learning targets.		
	Required **8b)** Assessment items and tasks are structured on integration of the **three dimensions** and include opportunities to engage students in applying understanding to new contexts.		
	8c) Scoring guidelines and rubrics **align** to performance expectations, and incorporate criteria that are specific, observable, and measurable.		

FINAL EVALUATION

Tier 1 ratings receive a "Yes" for all Non-negotiable Criteria and a "Yes" for each of the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.
Tier 2 ratings receive a "Yes" for all Non-negotiable Criteria, but at least one "No" for the Additional Criteria of Superior Quality.
Tier 3 ratings receive a "No" for at least one of the Non-negotiable Criteria.

Compile the results for Sections I and II to make a final decision for the material under review.

Section	Criteria	Yes/No	Final Justification/Comments
	1. Three-dimensional Learning		

CRITERIA	INDICATORS OF SUPERIOR QUALITY	MEETS METRICS (YES/NO)	JUSTIFICATION/COMMENTS WITH EXAMPLES
I: Non-negotiable Criteria of Superior Quality[2]	2. Phenomenon-Based Instruction		
	3. Alignment & Accuracy		
	4. Disciplinary Literacy		
II: Additional Criteria of Superior Quality[3]	5. Learning Progressions		
	6. Scaffolding and Support		
	7. Usability		
	8. Assessment		
FINAL DECISION FOR THIS MATERIAL: **[Choose one: Tier I, Exemplifies quality; Tier II, Approaching quality; Tier III, Not representing quality]**			

[2] Must score a "Yes" for all Non-negotiable Criteria to receive a Tier I or Tier II rating.
[3] Must score a "Yes" for all Additional Criteria of Superior Quality to receive a Tier I rating.